

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/938,669	08/27/2001	Jens Petersen	60117.000004	2508	
7590 01/19/2007 Stanislaus Aksman			EXAN	EXAMINER	
Hunton & Williams			AZPURU,	AZPURU, CARLOS A	
Suite 1200 1900 K Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20006			1615	1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/938,669	PETERSEN, JENS	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Carlos A. Azpuru	1615	

	Carlos A. Azpuru	1615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter 			
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS			,
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 😾 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,		_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wil vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 27,29,31-40,44,48-52,54,56-64,67 and	<u>71-76</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>0213200</u> .′	06 and 05152006	
		Carlos A. Azperu Primary Examiner	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The accompanying IDS brings up the issue of obviousness-type double patenting with 11/469,213 in that both claim a prosthteic device with the same composition. The difference in intended use is not given patentable weight.

Continuation of 13. Other: No record could be found for an IDS filed 06/20/2005 and a copy of the post card receipt or fax transmission will be required.